

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/772,658	01/30/2001	Noriyoshi Chizawa	1232-4677	. 2163	
27123 7590 01/23/2007 MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			THOMPSON	THOMPSON, JAMES A	
NEW YORK,	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2625		
			·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/772,658	CHIZAWA, NORIYOSHI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
James A. Thompson	2625			

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. No For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-17,19-27 and 86. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 10/23/06 13. Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/772,658

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. The proposed amendments to the claims merely incorporate limitations from cancelled dependent claims into independent claims. Therefore, the proposed amendments to the claims will be entered. The rejections of the presently amended independent claims would therefore also incorporate the rejections of claims 4 and 18 set forth in item 4 of the previous office action, mailed 27 September 2006. Thus, claims 1, 3-5, 7-15 and 17-27 are all rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Orito (US Patent 6,072,912) in view of Arimoto (US Patent 5,371,613), Sugiura (US Patent 4,679,074), and Kamisuwa (US Patent 6,728,008).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 22 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are not 2. persuasive. The cited portion of Kamisuwa (column 8, lines 6-10 of Kamisuwa) clearly states "It can be detected, from the three peak positions a, b and c and their peak levels Ia, Ib and Ic, to what a degree the position of each pixel of the CCD line sensor 23, which has read the adjustment mark, is deviated from a predetermined position (ideal position)." Since the CCD line sensors taught by Kamisuwa detect digital image data values of a plurality of colors (column 9, lines 17-26 of Kamisuwa), Kamisuwa clearly teaches that image sensing characteristics (the characteristics shown in figure 8 of Kamisuwa) which indicate spatial positional deviations (deviations from the ideal positions) of the plurality of colors of pixel signals obtained by said image sensor. Kamisuwa has not been relied upon to teach correcting the spatial deviation. The image sensing characteristic correction is taught by Orito. By combination, said image sensing characteristics indicate spatial positional deviations, as taught by Kamisuwa. Thus, by the combination of references, the spatial positional deviations are corrected. Applicant is respectfully reminded that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981): In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-7441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

يا و سر

Application/Control Number: 09/772,658

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James A. Thompson

Examiner

Technology Division 2625

iland mol

Page 3

16 January 2007

DAVID MOORE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 260°